

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action mailed June 23, 2005, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration.

As a preliminary matter, Applicants note with appreciation the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 1-3, 5-15, 17-27 and 29-34.

Claims 4 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 because various limitations lacked antecedent basis. Claims 4 and 16 have been amended to overcome this rejection. The amendments to the claims are for clarification only and do not narrow the scope of the claims. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, this application should now be in condition for allowance. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicant's attorney at the telephone number listed below.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 23/2825.

Respectfully submitted,

Tomas NORDSTRÖM, Daniel BENGTTSSON, and
Olivier ISSON, Applicants

By: 

James H. Morris
Registration No. 34,681
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02210
Tel. (617) 720-3500
Attorneys for Applicants

Docket No.: S1022.80495US00
Dated: August 24, 2005